COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF SOME RESIDENTS)
OF MUHLENBERG COUNTY, KENTUCKY)
FOR EXTENDED AREA TELEPHONE)
SERVICE WITHIN MUHLENBERG COUNTY)

INTERIM ORDER

On January 12, 1982, the Commission entered an Interim
Order in this matter, wherein Applicants were required to seek,
as a valid indication of general subscriber interest, the signatures of subscribers in both the Dunmor exchange of Logan County
Telephone Cooperative, Inc., ("Logan") and the Greenville exchange of South Central Bell Telephone Company ("Bell"). This
was in accordance with step two of the Commission's extended
area telephone service ("EAS") guidelines.

Applicants were directed to file these petitions within 60 days of January 12, 1982. They did not do so. By Order entered March 22, 1982, the Commission directed that since step two of the EAS guidelines had not been completed, the consideration process should move directly to step four, which requires a public hearing in the matter.

A public hearing was held on April 20, 1982. All interested parties were allowed to present testimony. At the hearing Applicants

filed petition forms containing several hundred names of persons interested in toll-free calling between the Dunmor exchange and other exchanges in Muhlenberg County. Some of these forms were styled "Petition for free telephone service." Others stated that the telephone service would be provided at no increased expense. None of the petitions was on the Commission petition form, which states that provision of the service will probably require an additional monthly charge.

Findings and Order

The Commission, after considering this matter and being advised, is of the opinion and finds that:

- 1) Step two of the EAS guidelines was not satisfactorily completed, since the petitions which were presented at the hearing of April 20, 1982, were not obtained on the Commission petition forms which were supplied to Applicants as part of the Commission's Order entered January 12, 1982;
- 2) Applicants should be granted one additional 60-day period in which to gather signatures of subscribers as a valid indication of general subscriber interest in accordance with step two of the EAS guidelines; and
- 3) In accordance with Applicants' desires expressed at the hearing of April 20, 1982, the petitions should include the Dunmor, Greenville, Drakesboro, Central City, and Breman exchanges.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, should Applicants desire to pursue this matter, they shall obtain the signatures of a minimum

of 122 separate subscriber accounts in the Dunmor exchange (675 prefix) on copies of the form attached as Appendix A; a minimum of 138 separate subscriber accounts in the Greenville exchange (338 prefix) on copies of the form attached as Appendix B; a minimum of 106 separate subscriber accounts in the Drakesboro exchange (476 prefix) on copies of the form attached as Appendix C; a minimum of 124 separate subscriber accounts in the Central City exchange (754 prefix) on copies of the form attached as Appendix D; and a minimum of 87 separate subscriber accounts in the Breman exchange (525 prefix) on copies of the form attached as Appendix E.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Applicants shall be allowed up to 60 days from the date of this Order to gather these signatures and file them with the Commission, in accordance with step two of the EAS guidelines.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 16th day of June, 1982.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman 12 Vok

Katherine Kandall
Vice Chairman

Commissioner Commissioner

ATTEST:

Secretary

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 8361, DATED June 16, 1982

PETITION FOR DUNMOR EXCHANGE (657 PREFIX)

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Greenville (338 Prefix), Drakesbore (476 Prefix), Central City (754 Prefix), and Breman (525 Prefix) exchanges, but that such a plan will probably require an additional monthly charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll-free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill will probably increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

Tel. No.	Signature of Billing Party	Address of Telephone Service
·		
		been made aware of the above information

Signature of Petitioner

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 8361, DATED June 16. 1982

PETITION FOR GREENVILLE EXCHANGE (338 PREFIX)

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Dunmor exchange (657 Prefix), but that such a plan will probably require an additional monthly charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll-free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill will probably increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

	Tel. No.	Signature of Billing Party	Address of Telephone Service
)			
)			
)			
)			
)			
)			
)			
)			
)			
)			
)			
)			

Signature of Petitioner

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 8361, DATED June 16, 1982

PETITION FOR DRAKESBORO EXCHANGE (476 PREFIX)

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Dunmor exchange (657 Prefix), but that such a plan will probably require an additional monthly charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll-free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill will probably increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

Tel. No.	Signature of Billing Party	Address of Telephone Service
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· ·
		• • •
	·	

Signature of Petitioner

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 8361, DATED June 16, 1982

PETITION FOR CENTRAL CITY EXCHANGE (754 PREFIX)

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Dunmor exchange (657 Prefix), but that such a plan will probably require an additional monthly charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll-free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill will probably increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

Tel. No.	Signature of Billing Party	Address of Telephone Service
· .		

Signature of Petitioner

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 8361, DATED June 16, 1982

PETITION FOR BREMAN EXCHANGE (525 PREFIX)

I understand that the Kentucky Public Service Commission is considering a plan to remove long distance charges on calls to the Dunmor exchange (657 Prefix), but that such a plan will probably require an additional monthly charge on my telephone bill. I understand that the amount of that additional charge is not yet known. I state that I am the billing party for the telephone number shown and, as such, I am interested in obtaining toll-free service between the exchanges. I realize that my monthly bill will probably increase in an amount to be determined later, but that the proposed plan, and the charges finally decided upon, will be subject to the approval of the Commission, which will consider all relevant facts and opinions.

Tel. No.	Signature of Billing Party	Address of Telephone Service
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Signature of Petitioner